# A Sturmian approach to structure and ionization processes of atoms and molecules

**Ugo ANCARANI** 

**GDR Correl, Marseille, 10 juillet 2015** 

Théorie, Modélisation, Simulation SRSMC, UMR CNRS 7565 Université de Lorraine Metz, France









Context

- Method: Sturmian approach
- Examples (bound+ three body fragmentation)



Pure 3-body Coulomb problem

$$\left[T + \frac{q_1 q_3}{r_1} + \frac{q_2 q_3}{r_2} + \frac{q_1 q_2}{r_{12}} - E\right] = 0$$

**Bound wavefunctions :** 

> product of uncorrelated basis functions (e.g. ETO,GTO)

> explicitly correlated (r<sub>12</sub>) basis sets

### **FOCUS:** Continuum states **NOT** bound states

Study of ionization of atoms and molecules by photon or electron impact



- **TARGETS :** atoms (H, He, Li, Be, Ar, Na, Mg, Cu, Au, ...) - molecules ( $H_2O$ ,  $NH_3$ ,  $CH_4$ , DNA basis, ...)
  - Development of theoretical tools (methods, wave functions)
  - Calculation of multidifferential cross sections

### THEORY $\leftrightarrow$ **EXPERIENCE**

### SINGLE IONIZATION : (e,2e) on H



#### Detection in coincidence: Kinematically complete

**TDCS** 



> Pure 3-body problem in final channel

- Solved numerically at the end of century
- > Agreement between theories and experiments





**DOUBLE IONIZATION : (** $\gamma$ ,2e)





Detection in coincidence: TDCS





### WHY DO WE WANT DIFFERENTIAL CROSS SECTIONS?

> needed as database for applications (e.g. plasmas or radiobiology)

- > test theoretical models in more details
  - the collision dynamics
  - the wave functions
- > information on electronic correlation

Electron Momentum Spectroscopy (EMS) technique: (e,2e) collisions -> provide the F.T. of the target wave function:  $|\Psi(p)|^2$ 

### **Three-body Scattering problem (ionization)**



# Recall Basic Quantum Mechanics (1/2)

Consider a two-body problem, reduced mass  $\mu$ =1, with a central potential U(r), generally with a Coulomb tail : - Z / r

$$\Psi(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{r} R_l(r) Y_l^m(\hat{r}) \quad \Rightarrow \text{Radial equation:} \quad \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{l(l+1)}{2r^2} + U(r) - E \right] R_l(r) = 0$$
Standard approach
Eigenvalue E

 $R_{I}(r)$ : eigenfunctions of the ENERGY

Complete and orthogonal set



### **SINGLE IONIZATION : (e,2e) of a molecule**

**Transition amplitude in the First Born Approximation:** 

$$T_{fi} \sim \left\langle \exp(i\vec{k}_{s}.\vec{r}_{0})\Psi_{f}(\vec{k}_{1},\vec{r}_{1},..,\vec{r}_{n}) \middle| V \middle| \exp(i\vec{k}_{i}.\vec{r}_{0})\Phi_{i}(\vec{r}_{1},..,\vec{r}_{n}) \right\rangle$$
  
Simple continuum  
Electron-target  
Interaction  
Initial molecular state  
Quantum Chemistry

**Differential Cross Section** 

$$\frac{d^{4}\sigma(\alpha;\beta;\gamma)}{d\Omega_{Euler}d\Omega_{0}d\Omega_{1}dE_{1}} = \frac{k_{0}k_{1}}{k_{i}}\left|T_{fi}\right|^{2}$$
Euler angles 11

Euler angles

### **DOUBLE IONIZATION : (e,3e) of He**



Kinematically complete experiments  $\rightarrow$  multiply differential cross sections

THEORY <-> EXPERIENCE

Need description of charged particles in Coulomb interaction

• BOUND STATES (target)

• CONTINUUM STATES (single or double) (e.g. two electrons escaping from a positive nucleus – THREE-BODY)

**Need to solve Schrödinger Equation** 

# **STURMIAN APPROACH**

Like most other numerical approaches it uses two-body basis functions (e.g. ETO,GTO, ...)

Standard approach  
U(r) : central potential
$$\Psi(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{r}R_l(r)Y_l^m(\hat{r})$$
Radial equation: $\left[-\frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{l(l+1)}{2r^2} + U(r) - E\right]R_l(r) = 0$ EigenvalueER\_l(r): eigenfunctions of the ENERGY

Complete and orthogonal set

### **Sturmian approach:**

$$\left[-\frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{l(l+1)}{2r^2} + U_a(r) - E_s\right]S_{n,l}(r) = -\beta_{n,l}V_g(r)S_{nl}(r)$$

 $U_a(r)$  : auxiliary potential (short or long range, usually related to the potential U to be solved)

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} r U_{a}(r) = Z$$

 $\lim_{r \to \infty} r V_{g}(r) = 0$ 

 $V_g(r)$ : generating potential (short range)

S<sub>n,I</sub>(r) : Eigenfunctions of the potential (Generalized Sturmian Functions - GSF)

Complete and orthogonal (potential V<sub>g</sub>(r) weighted) set

**Asymptotically:** 
$$\left[-\frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + U_a(r) - E_s\right]S_{n,l}(r) = 0$$

 $\rightarrow$  All S<sub>n,l</sub>(r) : same asymptotic behavior dictated by U<sub>a</sub>(r)

# BOUND (E<0)

# Same boundary conditions (for all n)

$$S_{n,l}(r) \rightarrow e^{-ar}$$



Left: Energy eigenfunctions: widely spread. Right: Potential eigenfunctions. The expansion power can be focused in the area of interest.



Left: Energy eigenfunctions, continuous spectrum. Right: Potential eigenfunctions. When outgoing (incoming) conditions are chosen, they constitute a discrete set, even when energies are positive. The potential  $\mathcal{V}(r)$  regulates the spatial extension of the basis expansion capabilities.

### **Three-body Scattering problem (ionization)**



### **Generalized Sturmian Functions (two-body GSF): S**<sub>n,l</sub>(**r**)

- > are solutions of a Sturm-Liouville differential equation
- ➢ form a complete and discrete set → BASIS SET
- have a unique and appropriate asymptotic behavior (with correct energy for continuum states or appropriate decay for bound states)
- can be constructed to properly represent the electron-nucleus cusp
- concentrate the effort in the inner part where interaction takes place
- solve the kinetic energy + main potential terms
- transform the Schrödinger equation into a matrix problem

### → VERY EFFICIENT BASIS (require smaller computational resources)

<u>REVIEW:</u> Gasaneo et al, Adv. Quantum Chem., 2013

# Coulomb Sturmian Functions (more widely used)

Generating potential is a Coulomb potential  $\rightarrow$  analytical solutions (hydrogen-like Sturmian basis)

 $R_{nl}(r) = \mathcal{N}_{nl}(2kr)^{l} e^{-kr} F(l+1-n \mid 2l+2 \mid 2kr)$ 

$$\left(-\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 + \frac{k^2}{2} - \frac{nk}{r}\right) R_{nl}(r) = 0$$

- If k=Z/n → hydrogen radial functions (bad ! nodes)
   If k=constant → complete basis set (Shull and Lowdin) fixed energy E=k²/2 for all n BUT different asymptotic behavior
- Each eigenvalue is a different charge nk
   → e-N cusp condition NOT respected
   Span a larger domain

### **Generalized SF vs Coulomb SF**

BOUND

#### Cusp

### Asymptotics





Uncorrelated product of two-body GSF:

$$\Psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) = \sum_{l_1 l_2} \sum_{n_1=1}^{N_1} \sum_{n_2=1}^{N_2} a_{n_1 n_2}^{l_1 l_2 LM} \mathcal{A} \frac{S_{n_1 l_1}(r_1)}{r_1} \frac{S_{n_2 l_2}(r_2)}{r_2} \mathcal{Y}_{l_1 l_2}^{LM}(\widehat{\mathbf{r}}_1, \widehat{\mathbf{r}}_2),$$

- Similar advantages (inner part, matrix problem, ...)
- Several applications
  - BOUND atomic states (ground and excited), resonances
    - H<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> molecule
    - confined systems

#### **GROUND STATE**

#### He: BEST ground state energy with uncorrelated product

-2.903 712 820 -2.903 724 377 [14]

#### Exotic systems e.g. positronium ion

**Table 7.7** Partial-wave convergence of the ground-state energy of Ps system. We used 35 radial functions per coordinate and for each partial-wave *I<sub>i</sub>* 

li	E <sub>Ii</sub>				
0	-0.257 240 143				
1	-0.260 105 390				
2	-0.261 496 276				
12	$-0.262\ 002\ 458$				
r					
Exact	-0.262 005 070				

#### Ps<sup>-</sup> Ground-state energy

#### **EXCITED STATES**

**Table 7.3** Energy of the first three excited states for singlet states of He for different L with a total of 168 basis functions

n	L	Present work	Ref. 57
3	2	-2.0556110426	-2.0556207328522456
4	3	-2.03125512987	-2.0312551443817490
5	4	-2.020000709670	-2.0200007108985847
6	5	-2.0138890317669	-2.0138890347542797

#### **DOUBLY EXCITED STATES**

**Table 7.4** Energies for the Rydberg series of the singlet S states of He, using 30 GSF per electron

(N, k) <sub>n</sub>	GSF	Ref. 58		
	<b>ભ(E)</b>	ଞ(E)	भ <b>(E)</b>	ે(E)
$(2,1)_2$	-0.777876955	-0.002060106	-0.777867636	-0.002270653
$(2, -1)_2$	-0.621817695	-0.000106535	-0.621927254	-0.000107818
$(3,2)_3$	-0.351827523	-0.001406250	-0.353538536	-0.001504906

### **Applications to ionization processes**

Double photoionization of He (γ,2e)
 Double electron impact ionization of He (e,3e)
 Single photoionization of molecules (γ,e)
 Single electron impact ionization of molecules (e,2e)

$$\Psi_{sc}^{+}(\mathbf{r}_{1},\mathbf{r}_{2}) = \sum_{L \ l_{1}l_{2}} \sum_{n_{1}} \sum_{n_{2}} a_{n_{1}n_{2}}^{l_{1}l_{2}L} \mathcal{A} \frac{S_{n_{1}l_{1}}^{+}(r_{1})}{r_{1}} \frac{S_{n_{2}l_{2}}^{+}(r_{2})}{r_{2}} \mathcal{Y}_{l_{1}l_{2}}^{L0}(\widehat{\mathbf{r}}_{1},\widehat{\mathbf{r}}_{2})$$

Extraction of amplitudes and cross sections directly from the scattering wave function

### **1) Double photoionization of He** $\gamma + \text{He} \rightarrow \text{He}^{++} + e^{-} + e^{-}$



### **Double photoionization (** $\gamma$ ,2e) of He TDCS for photon energy 20 eV above threshold Equal energy sharing E<sub>1</sub>=E<sub>2</sub>=10 eV; different $\theta_1$ values



Figure 8: (Color online) TDCS for 20 eV and equal energy sharing at various geometries. Circles: absolute experimental values by Brauning *et al.* [14]. <u>Red dashed line: ECS</u> calculation [22]. Black solid line: present GSF results.



Save 75% of memory storage

# 2) Double ionization of He by electron impact



- ➢ If incident and scattered electron are fast, within the First Born Approximation → three-body problem
- Tests the double continuum in a complete manner

Absolute differential cross sections have been measured for (e,3e)

**Ongoing debate for 15 years !** 

#### **GSF** calculation !!

### For the first time since 1999 two ab initio theories agree between each other (GSF and CCC)



# 3) Single photoionization of molecules



- the initial target
- the photoelectron (single continuum)



Inner valence  $3a_1 \text{ of } H_2O$ 

### Sturmian approach within

- one particle approx.
- OCE, FC, BO approx.
- model potential (static exchange approx.)
- first order perturbation theory

### 4) Single ionization of molecules by electron impact







Outer valence  $1t_2$  of CH<sub>4</sub>

Expt Data on relative scale

# Take home message

**Two-body Generalized Sturmian Functions (GSF):** 

- form a complete basis set {S<sub>n</sub>(r)}
- are very efficient because appropriate boundary conditions can be imposed to the basis elements
  - $\rightarrow$  concentrate in the region where it is interesting
  - → smaller computational resources when compared to other benchmark numerical methods
- are able to build up efficiently the three-body wavefunctions

#### Same tool for BOUND and CONTINUUM

#### **COLLABORATORS:**

Gustavo GASANEO Universidad Nacional del Sur, Bahia Blanca, Argentina

Marcelo AMBROSIO and Dario MITNIK IAFE,Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina

Juan RANDAZZO and Flavio COLAVECCHIA Centro Atomico Bariloche, Argentina

PhD Students Carlos GRANADOS and Jessica Del Punta Université de Lorraine, Metz, France

Thank you for your attention !